

Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
Telephone: (310) 277-6910
Telecopy: (310) 201-0760

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
(admitted *pro hac vice*)
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
Telephone: (212) 561-7700
Telecopy: (212) 561-7777

Lynn S. Tavenner, Esq. (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran, Esq. (VA Bar No. 34679)
TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
Telephone: (804) 783-8300
Telecopy: (804) 783-0178

Counsel to Plaintiff
Alfred H. Siegel, Trustee of the Circuit City
Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust

Counsel to Plaintiff
Alfred H. Siegel, Trustee of the Circuit City Stores,
Inc. Liquidating Trust

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
RICHMOND DIVISION**

In re:) Case No. 08-35653 (KRH)
)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC., <u>et al.</u> ,) Chapter 11
)
Debtors.) (Jointly Administered)
)
ALFRED H. SIEGEL, AS TRUSTEE OF THE)
CIRCUIT CITY STORES, INC. LIQUIDATING) Adv. Pro. No. 10-_____
TRUST)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
)
MICHAEL J. WHITE,)
)
Defendant.)

**THE LIQUIDATING TRUST'S COMPLAINT
TO AVOID AND RECOVER FRAUDULENT TRANSFERS**

Alfred H. Siegel, the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trustee”), pursuant to the Second Amended Joint Plan of Liquidation of Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its Affiliated Debtors and Debtors in Possession and its Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims (the “Plan”), for his complaint to avoid and recover fraudulent transfers against Michael J. White (“Defendant”), alleges as follows:

THE PARTIES

1. The Trustee (“Trustee” or “Plaintiff”) is the duly appointed trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc. Liquidating Trust (the “Trust”), which was established by virtue of the Plan. Pursuant to Articles II and III of the Trust, the Trustee has the sole authority to pursue claims transferred to the Trust by the Debtors through the Plan, and to litigate objections to claims asserted against the Debtors’ estates.

2. Prior to the Effective Date of the Plan, Circuit City Stores, Inc. and its affiliated debtors in possession (collectively “Circuit City” or the “Debtors”) were corporations organized under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia with a principal place of business in Richmond, Virginia, and were the debtors in the above-captioned chapter 11 bankruptcy cases.¹

3. Upon information and belief, defendant Justin W. Lewis was a former executive employee of Circuit City.

¹ The Debtors and the last four digits of their respective taxpayer identification numbers are as follows: Circuit City Stores, Inc. (3875), Circuit City Stores West Coast, Inc. (0785), InterTAN, Inc. (0875), Ventoux International, Inc. (1838), Circuit City Purchasing Company, LLC (5170), CC Aviation, LLC (0841), CC Distribution Company of Virginia, Inc. (2821), Circuit City Properties, LLC (3353), Kinzer Technology, LLC (2157), Abbott Advertising Agency, Inc. (4659), Patapsco Designs, Inc. (6796), Sky Venture Corp. (0311), PRAHS, Inc. (n/a), XSStuff, LLC (9263), Mayland MN, LLC (6116), Courchevel, LLC (n/a), Orbyx Electronics, LLC (3360), and Circuit City Stores PR, LLC (5512).

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has jurisdiction to consider this matter under 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 157(b).

5. Venue of these chapter 11 cases and this adversary proceeding in this district and before this Court is proper under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409.

6. The statutory and legal predicates for the relief requested by the Complaint are sections 544, 548 and 550 of title 11, United States Code (the “Bankruptcy Code”), Bankruptcy Rules 3007 and 7001, and Local Bankruptcy Rule 3007-1.

PERTINENT FACTS

General Case Background

7. On November 10, 2008 (the “Petition Date”), the Debtors filed voluntary petitions in this Court for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code, and until the effective date of the Plan, continued to operate as debtors in possession pursuant to Bankruptcy Code sections 1107 and 1108.

8. On November 12, 2008, the Office of the United States Trustee for the Eastern District of Virginia appointed a statutory committee of unsecured creditors (the “Creditors’ Committee”).

9. On January 16, 2009, the Court authorized the Debtors to, among other things, conduct going out of business sales at all of the Debtors’ retail locations (the “Stores”) pursuant to an agency agreement (the “Agency Agreement”) between the Debtors and a joint venture, as agent (the “Agent”). On January 17, 2009, the Agent commenced going out of business sales at the Stores pursuant to the Agency Agreement. As of March 8, 2009, the going out of business sales at the Debtors’ stores were completed.

10. On August 9, 2010, the Debtors and the Official Committee of Creditors Holding General Unsecured Claims filed the Plan, which provides for the liquidation of the Debtors' assets and distribution of the proceeds thereof under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code.

11. On September 10, 2010, the United States Bankruptcy Court, Eastern District of Virginia, signed an Order confirming the Plan. The Plan became effective on November 1, 2010, as reflected in the Notice of Effective Date filed on that date.

The Employment Relationship Between Circuit City And Defendant

12. Prior to the commencement of these bankruptcy cases, the Debtors were a leading specialty retailer of consumer electronics and operated large nationwide electronics stores that sold, among other things, televisions, home theatre systems, computers, camcorders, furniture, software, imaging and telecommunications products, and other audio and video electronics.

13. Defendant was an executive employee of the Debtors, who held the position of Senior Vice President/Division President when terminated from the Debtors' employ on May 6, 2005. Defendant was hired by the Debtors on June 1, 1993, and was released, from active service, on March 23, 2005. Defendant thereafter performed no services for the Debtors.

Transfers To Defendant Made Within Four Years of the Petition Date

14. During the four years prior to the commencement of Circuit City's bankruptcy cases, the Debtors transferred property to or for the benefit of Defendant in an amount not less than \$570,069. A list identifying each and every transfer from the Debtors to the Defendant during this time period is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference (collectively, the "Transfers"). The Transfers were made for the purpose of

severance and related compensation after the Defendant's release from active service.

Defendant did not perform any services or otherwise provide any value to the Debtors in exchange for the Transfers.

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

**(For Avoidance Of Fraudulent Transfers -- 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548;
Va. Code Ann. § 55-80 and 55-81)**

15. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the above paragraphs as though fully set forth at length.

16. During the four years prior to the Petition Date, the Debtors made the Transfers to Defendant of approximately \$570,000. Defendant did not perform any services or otherwise provide any value, much less a reasonably equivalent value, in exchange for the Transfers.

17. The Debtors (a) were insolvent at the time of each of the Transfers or became insolvent as a result thereof; (b) were engaged in business or a transaction, or were about to become so engaged, for which any property remaining with Debtors was an unreasonably small capital; (c) intended to incur, or believed that they would incur debts beyond their ability to pay as such debts matured; or (d) made the Transfers to or for the benefit of the Defendant as an insider under an employment contract not in the ordinary course of business.

18. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548 that the Transfers be avoided in their entirety.

SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(For Recovery Of Property -- 11 U.S.C. § 550)

19. Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations in the above paragraphs, as though fully set forth herein.

20. As alleged above, Plaintiff is entitled to avoid each of the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. §§ 544 and 548. As the Defendant is the initial transferee of the Transfers, Plaintiff is entitled to recover for the estate the proceeds or value of each of the Transfers under 11 U.S.C. § 550.

21. Plaintiff is entitled to an order and judgment under 11 U.S.C. § 550 that the proceeds or value of each of the Transfers is recovered for the benefit of the estate.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

1. For a determination that each of the Transfers is avoidable as a fraudulent transfers under Sections 544 and 548 of the Bankruptcy Code, and that Plaintiff is entitled to recover the Transfers under Section 550 of the Bankruptcy Code;
2. For costs of suit incurred herein, including, without limitation, attorneys' fees;
3. For pre- and post-judgment interest on the judgment amount to the fullest extent allowed by applicable law; and
4. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

Dated: Richmond, Virginia
November 10, 2010

TAVENNER & BERAN, PLC

/s/ Lynn L. Tavenner
Lynn . Tavenner (VA Bar No. 30083)
Paula S. Beran (VA Bar No. 34679)
20 North Eighth Street, 2nd Floor
Richmond, Virginia 23219
(804) 783-8300

- and -

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
Jeffrey N. Pomerantz, Esq.
Andrew W. Caine, Esq.
10100 Santa Monica Boulevard
Los Angeles, California 90067-4100
(310) 277-6910

- and -

Robert J. Feinstein, Esq.
John A. Morris, Esq.
PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP
780 Third Avenue, 36th Floor
New York, New York 10017
(212) 561-7700

*Counsel to the Plaintiff Alfred Siegel, as
Trustee of the Circuit City Stores, Inc.
Liquidating Trust*

EXHIBIT A